Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act - Annual Report

Reporting Entity Name	Teck Resources Limited									
Reporting Year	From	1/1/2018	To:	12/31/2018	Date submitted	5/30/2019				
Reporting Entity ESTMA Identification Number	E452660		Original SubmAmended Rep							
Other Subsidiaries Included (optional field)										
For Consolidated Reports - Subsidiary Reporting Entities Included in Report:	Teck Metals Ltd E097974 Fording Partnership - E022630 Teck Resources Coal Partnership - E428456 Teck Coal Partnership - E694485 Elkview Mine Limited Partnership - E916164 TCL US Holdings Ltd E433973 Teck Highland Valley Copper Partnership - E561040									
Not Substituted										
Attestation Through Independent Audit										
In accordance with the requirements of the ESTMA, and in particular section 9 thereof, I attest that I engaged an independent auditor to undertake an audit of the ESTMA report for the entity(ies) and reporting year listed above. Such an audit was conducted in accordance with the Technical Reporting Specifications issued by Natural Resources Canada for independent attestation of ESTMA reports. The auditor expressed an unmodified opinion, dated 2019-05-29, on the ESTMA Report for the entity(ies) and period listed above.										
The independent auditor's report can be found at end of report		Traportion the entry	ioo, ana ponoa nat	iou ubovo.						
Full Name of Director or Officer of Reporting Entity		Ronald	A. Millos							
Position Title	Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer				Date	5/29/2019				

Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act - Annual Report Reporting Year From: 1/1/2018 Currency of the Report CAD Reporting Entity Name Teck Resources Limited Reporting Entity ESTMA E452660 Identification Number Teck Metals Ltd. - E097974 Fording Partnership - F022630 Teck Resources Coal Partnership - E428456 Subsidiary Reporting Entities (if Teck Coal Partnership - E694485 necessary) Flkview Mine I imited Partnership - F916164 TCL US Holdings Ltd. - E433973 Teck Highland Valley Copper Partnership - E561040 Payments by Payee Departments, Agency, etc... within Payee that Total Amount paid to Country Taxes Royalties Fees Production Entitlements Notes³⁴ Canada Government of British Columb 372,340,000 6,910,000 379,250,000 Note 3 Canada District of Sparwood 6,580,000 130,000 990,000 7,700,000 Ktunaxa Nation Council 6,880,000 6,880,000 Canada 5,570,000 5,570,000 District of Elkford Canada 4.560,000 Note 2 Note 4 Canada Government of Alberta 3 870 000 690,000 Canada District of Logan Lake 3.380.000 3 380 000 Canada Lower Nicola Indian Band 3,310,000 3,310,000 Mikisew Cree First Nation 3,240,000 3,240,000 Canada 2.710.000 2.710.000 Athabasca Chipewvan First Nation . Canada Canada Citxw Nlaka'namux Assembly 2 110 000 2.110.000 1.450.000 Note 2, Note 5 Canada Government of Canada 380 000 1.070.000 . -Canada Fort McKay First Nation 1,230,000 1,230,000 Canada Nlaka'pamux Nation Tribal Council 820,000 820,000 Canada Stk'emlupsemc te Secwepemc Nation 730,000 730,000 Alexis Nakota Sigur Nation 340 000 340,000 Canada 310,000 310.000 Canada Yellowhead County Canada Tahltan Nation 310,000 310 000 Canada District of Tumbler Ridge 230,000 230,000 Metis Nation of Alberta Local 1909 220,000 220,000 Canada 180,000 City of Kimberley 180,000 Canada 180,000 Canada La Vallée-de-l'Or Regional County Municipality 180,000 Canada Metis Nation of Alberta Region 1 170 000 170 000 Canada Whitefish Lake First Nation 130 000 130.000 Ermineskin Cree Nation 130,000 130,000 Canada 100,000 -100,000 Canada Fort McMurray First Nation 264.020.000 274,010,000 Note 1. Note 8 4.240.000 5.750.000 Peru Government of Peru United States of America 74 700 000 520 000 75.220.000 Note 1 Note 2 Note 6 State of Alaska United States of America District of Northwest Artic Borough 31 250 000 31 250 000 Note 1 United States of America Government of United State 26,610,000 250,000 26,860,000 Note 1, Note 7 United States of America State of California 1,140,000 1,140,000 Note 1 United States of America State of Washington 700,000 700,000 Note 1 United States of America Native Village of Kivalina 510,000 510.000 Note 1 420.000 420.000 Note 1 United States of America City of Pend Oreille United States of America State of Minnesota 260 000 260,000 Note 1 Chile Government of Chile 104,820,000 2,350,000 11,190,000 2,310,000 120,670,000 Note 1, Note 2, Note 9 Chile 2,170,000 2,170,000 Note 1 Quechua Indigenous Community of Huatacondo 1,590,000 Note 1 Chile Municipality of Pica 540,000 1,050,000 Chile Municipality of Las Condes 950 000 950.000 Note 1 Chile Municipality of Andacollo 750.000 750.000 Note 1 Chile Copaquire Livestock Indigenous Association 740 000 740,000 Note 1 Chile Aymara Indigenous Association of Eastern Collahuasi 400,000 400,000 Note 1 Chile Human Groups belonging to Indigenous Peoples from Tamentica 330,000 330,000 Note 1 Chile Indigenous Ranchers Association of Yabricollita 310,000 310,000 Note 1 160,000 Note 1 Chile Salar de Coposa Aymara Indigenous Association 160,000 Indigenous Association of Matilla Chile 140 000 140,000 Note 1 Chile Municipality of Pozo Almonte 120,000 120,000 Note 1 Turkey Government of Turkey 980,000 980,000 Note 1 Government of Mexico 320,000 320,000 Note 1 Mexico 200,000 Note 1 Australia 200,000 Government of Australia Ireland Government of Ireland 170 000 170.000 Note 1

Note 1: Payments denominated in a foreign currency is translated using the closing spot rate on December 31, 2018. Exchange rates used for translation per \$1 Canadian Dollar are as follows: PEN 0.4037; USD 1.3644; CLP 0.0020; TRY 0.2580, MXN 0.0695, AUD 0.9614; EUR 1.5630

Note 2: Taxes reported do not include cash tax refunds received in 2018 from: Government of Alberta \$8.3M; Government of Canada \$5.3M; State of Alaska \$1.9M; Government of Chile \$20.2M

Note 3: Payees include - Ministry of Finance British Columbia

Note 4: Payees include - Ministry of Treasury Board and Finance
Note 5: Payees include - Department of Natural Resources, Receiver General for Canada, Canada Revenue Agency

Note 6: Payees include - Alaska Department of Revenue, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Note 7: Payees include - US Department of Labor's Mine Safety and Health Administration, Internal Revenue Service

Note 8: Payees include - Organismo de Evaluación y Fiscalización Ambiental, Ingernet-Instituto Geológico Minera y Metalúrgico, Organismo Supervisor de la Inversión en Energia y Mineria, Superintendencia Nacional de Aduanas y de Administración Tributaria, Banco de la Nación Note 9: Payees include - Ulinisterio de Obras Publicas, Ministerio de Bienes Nacionale, Tesorería General de la República

¹Enter the proper name of the Payee receiving the money (i.e. the municipality of x, the province of y, national government of z)

Additional Notes:

²Optional field

³When payments are made in-kind, the notes field must highlight which payment includes in-kind contributions and the method for calculating the value of the payment.

⁴ Any payments made in currencies other than the report currency must be identified. The Reporting Entity may use the Additional notes row or the Notes column to identify any payments that are converted, along with the exchange rate and primary method used for currency conversions.

Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act - Annual Report Reporting Year From: 1/1/2018 12/31/2018 Reporting Entity Name Teck Resources Limited Currency of the Report CAD Reporting Entity ESTMA E452660 Identification Number Teck Metals Ltd. - E097974 Fording Partnership - E022630 Teck Resources Coal Partnership - E428456 Subsidiary Reporting Entities (if necessary) Teck Coal Partnership - E694485 Elkview Mine Limited Partnership - E916164 TCL US Holdings Ltd. - E433973 Teck Highland Valley Copper Partnership - E561040 Payments by Project

Country	Project Name ¹	Taxes	Royalties	Fees	Production Entitlements	Bonuses	Dividends	Infrastructure Improvement Payments	Total Amount paid by Project	Note
Canada	Fording River	139,920,000	-	2,390,000	-		-		142,310,000	
Canada	Elkview	80,820,000	-	1,460,000	-		-		82,280,000	
Canada	Greenhills	77,770,000	-	940,000	-		-	-	78,710,000	
Canada	Line Creek	34,850,000	-	1,660,000	-				36,510,000	
Canada	Highland Valley Copper	25,880,000	-	8,170,000	-				34,050,000	
Canada	Corporate Office - Coal	12,240,000	-	5,790,000	-			990,000	19,020,000	
Canada	Coal Mountain	16,120,000	-	60,000	-				16,180,000	
Canada	Frontier	380,000	-	8,610,000	-				8,990,000	
Canada	Cardinal River	3,860,000	-	1,210,000	-		-		5,070,000	
Canada	Head Office - Canada	310,000	-	500,000	-					
Canada	Galore Creek	50,000	-	320,000	-				370,000	
Canada	Sullivan	260,000		70,000	-				·	
Canada	Quintette	100.000		200.000	-				300,000	
Canada	Bullmoose	210,000	-						210,000	
Canada	Duck Pond	50,000		80,000	-				130,000	
Peru	Antamina	263,820,000	-	3,610,000			-		273,180,000	Note 1
Peru	Peru Exploration Properties	200,020,000		550,000				0,700,000	550,000	Note 1
Peru	Zafranal	190,000		-					190,000	Note 1
Peru	Corporate Office - Peru	10,000		60,000					70,000	Note 1
Peru	Quellopunta	10,000	-	20,000	-				20,000	Note 1
United States of America	Red Dog	89,030,000		1,050,000					90,080,000	Note 1
	-		-				-			
United States of America	Corporate Office - US	44,670,000	-	130,000	-		-		44,800,000	Note 1
United States of America	Pend Oreille	420,000	700,000	70,000	-		-	-	1,190,000	Note 1
United States of America	Mesaba	-	-	260,000	-		-			Note 1
United States of America	US Exploration Properties		•	40,000	-				40,000	Note 1
Chile	Carmen de Andacollo	98,110,000	-	360,000	-		- 11,190,000		111,970,000	Note 1
Chile	Quebrada Blanca	4,600,000	-	6,330,000	-		-	-	10,930,000	Note 1
Chile	Corporate Office - Chile	4,280,000	-	-	-		-		4,280,000	Note 1
Chile	NuevaUnión	50,000	-	760,000	-		-		810,000	Note 1
Chile	Chile Exploration Properties	-	-	290,000	-		-			Note 1
Turkey	Turkey Exploration Properties	-	-	390,000	-		-	-	390,000	Note 1
Turkey	Kizildam	-	-	150,000	-		-		,	Note 1
Turkey	Kavaklitepe	-	-	130,000	-		-	-	130,000	Note 1
Turkey	TV Tower	-	-	120,000	-		-		120,000	Note 1
Turkey	Belen	-	-	80,000	-		-		80,000	Note 1
Turkey	Halilaga	-	-	80,000	-		-		80,000	Note 1
Turkey	Corporate Office - Turkey	20,000	-	10,000	-		-	-	30,000	Note 1
Mexico	San Nicolas	-	-	200,000	-		-		200,000	Note 1
Mexico	Alacran	-	-	120,000	-		-	-	120,000	Note 1
Australia	Australia Exploration Properties	-	-	140,000	-		-		140,000	Note 1
Australia	Isa South		-	50,000			-		50,000	Note 1
Australia	Lennard Shelf	20,000		-			-		20,000	Note 1
Australia	Marimo		-	10,000			-		10,000	Note 1
Ireland	Ireland Exploration Properties		_	160,000					160,000	Note 1

Tenter the project that the payment is attributed to. Some payments may not be attributable to a specific project, and do not need to be disclosed in the "Payments by Project" table.

² When payments are made in-kind, the notes field must highlight which payment includes in-kind contributions and the method for calculating the value of the payment.

³ Any payments made in currencies other than the report currency must be identified. The Reporting Entity may use the "Additional Notes" row or the "Notes" column to identify any payments that are converted, along with the exchange rate and primary method used for currency conversions.

Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act Report For the year ended December 31, 2018 (All amounts expressed in Canadian Dollars)

Teck

INTRODUCTION

Teck Resources Ltd. and its subsidiaries (collectively "Teck" or "we") have prepared the following audited consolidated report ("the Report") of payments made to government entities for the year ended December 31, 2018 as required by the Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act S.C. 2014, c.39, s.376 ("ESTMA" or "the Act").

BASIS OF PREPARATION

The Report is presented in Canadian Dollars has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Act and the Natural Resources Canada ("NRCan") Technical Reporting Specifications. Payments denominated in currencies other than Canadian dollars are translated at the closing exchange rate on December 31, 2018. The following is a summary of judgments and definitions that we have made for the purpose of preparing the Report.

Cash and In-kind Payments

Payments are reported on a cash basis and have been reported in the period in which the payment was made. In-kind payments are converted to an equivalent cash value based on cost or, if cost is not determinable, the in-kind payment is reported at the fair market value. The valuation method for in-kind payments, if any, has been disclosed in the notes section of the Report. For the year ended December 31, 2018, there were no reportable in-kind payments to a payee.

Payments to the "same payee" that meet or exceed \$100,000 CAD in one category of payment are disclosed. Payments are rounded to the nearest \$10,000.

Payee

For the purposes of the Act, a payee is:

- a) Any government in Canada or in a foreign state;
- b) A body that is established by two or more governments; or
- c) Any trust, board, commission, corporation or body or other authority that is established to exercise or perform, or that exercises or performs, a power, duty or function of a government for a government referred to in paragraph (a) above or a body referred to in paragraph (b) above.

Payees include governments at any level, including national, regional, state, provincial, local, or municipal levels. Payees may include non-governmental entities if the benefit bestowed would have otherwise been provided by the government. Payees also include any government-owned or government-controlled entities that exercise or perform a power, duty or function of government.

Aboriginal and indigenous groups and organizations may also be regarded as a payee under the Act. Payments to foreign and Canadian Aboriginal governments are reported.



Reportable Payments

A reportable payment for ESTMA purposes is one that:

- a) Is made in relation to the commercial development of oil, gas or minerals; and
- b) Totals, as a single or multiple payments, \$100,000 CAD or more in the year in one of the following prescribed seven payment categories.

Taxes

Taxes include corporate income taxes, resources taxes, property taxes, withholding taxes on cross border dividends, and other levied taxes, excluding consumption and personal income taxes. Corporate income and resource taxes are payments to governments based on income, profits or production under legislated income tax rules in relation to the commercial development of oil, gas or minerals. In the Consolidated Statement of Income, prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and included in our Annual Report, corporate income taxes and resource taxes are both presented as income tax. We report taxes net of credits or refunds where those amounts are adjusted for in determining the amount of taxes to be paid in cash. Refunds received separately in cash are excluded from reported payments.

Royalties

Royalties are paid to governments in relation to the rights to extract oil, gas and mining resources. In the Consolidated Statement of Income, prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and included in our Annual Report, royalties are not presented as an income tax.

Fees

Fees are amounts levied on the initial or ongoing right to use a geographical area for commercial development of oil, gas and minerals. Fees include license fees, permit fees, entry fees, regulatory charges and other payments for licenses and/or concessions. Amounts paid for goods and services in the ordinary course of commercial transactions are excluded.

Production entitlements

Production entitlements are payee's share of oil, gas or mineral production under a production sharing agreement or similar contractual or legislated arrangement. For the year ended December 31, 2018, there were no reportable production entitlement payments to a payee.

Bonuses

Bonuses are payments to government for signing, discovery, production, and any other type of bonus paid in relation to commercial development of oil, gas, or minerals. For the year ended December 31, 2018, there were no reportable bonus payments to a payee.

Dividends

Dividends are payments to governments with an ownership interest in Teck's subsidiaries, excluding payments to governments that are ordinary shareholders of Teck or its subsidiaries.

Infrastructure improvement payments

Infrastructure improvements are payments for the construction of infrastructure, such as public roads, libraries, and hospital facilities, excluding payments made in circumstances where the infrastructure is expected to be primarily used for operational purposes.

Teck

Payments by Project Level

Payments have been reported at the project level as required by the Act. A "project" means the operational activities are governed by a single contract, license, lease, concession or similar legal agreement that forms the basis for a payment liability with a payee. If multiple such agreements are substantially interconnected, they would be considered a single project. "Substantially interconnected" means forming a set of operationally and geographically integrated contracts, licenses, leases or concessions or related agreements with substantially similar terms that are signed with a government and give rise to payment liabilities.

We have determined that the operational activities governed by surface or mineral lease contracts related to key operational areas are substantially interconnected and have reported payments related to each such area as a single project. We have considered geographical location and common infrastructure as two key indicators for making this determination.

Payments presented on a by project basis represent payments made by an entity when specifically attributable to a project. Payments that are not specifically attributable to projects are presented as 'Corporate Head Office'. There are instances where two or more projects report and make payments under one tax entity, and the tax payment may not be attributable to a specific project. As permitted under the ESTMA Technical Reporting Specifications, when a payment is not attributable to a specific project, it may be reported without disaggregation by project and by reporting only at the payee disclosure level.

Commercial Development

The Act defines 'commercial development of oil, gas or minerals' as:

- a) The exploration or extraction of oil, gas or minerals;
- b) The acquisition or holding of a permit, license, lease or any other authorization to carry out any of the activities referred to in paragraph (a); or
- c) Any other prescribed activities in relation to oil, gas or minerals.

Payments made to payees relating to the commercial development of oil, gas or minerals ("commercial development") are disclosed in the Report. The Report excludes payments that are not related to commercial development activities. Commercial development does not include ancillary and preparatory activities such as construction of an extraction site. Commercial development also excludes post-extraction activities such as marketing, distribution, transportation, refining, smelting or processing of minerals once they have left the mine gate.

Significant Judgments

The preparation of the Report in accordance with the Act requires the use of judgments and assumptions.

Joint control and attribution

The Act requires the reporting of payments made by entities that are controlled by the Reporting Entity. The Report includes payments made by entities controlled by Teck, directly or indirectly as assessed under International Financial Reporting Standards. For payments made by joint arrangements, ESTMA and related guidance requires the reporting of payments to governments made directly by Teck or any such payments made on our behalf. Payments made by our joint arrangements are reported under ESTMA as follows:

a) Fort Hills Energy Limited Partnership ("Fort Hills") – We own 21.3% of Fort Hills as of December 31, 2018. As Suncor Energy Inc. is the operator, they are reporting 100% of the payments to governments related to Fort Hills.

Teck

- b) Galore Creek Partnership We own 50% of Galore Creek Partnership. As permitted under ESTMA guidance we are reporting 100% of the payments related to Galore Creek.
- c) Nueva Union and Antamina We are reporting our proportionate share of payments to governments relating to our 50% ownership of Nueva Union and our 22.5% ownership of Antamina.

Government Payee

Any payments to a payee that is not a payee under the Act are not reported. We have determined that Alaska Native Corporations ("ANC") created under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act are not considered a government payee based on the criteria in the Act. ANCs are for-profit corporations with shareholders of shared Indigenous heritage. ANCs do not assume any regulatory, licensing, or taxing roles which would grant them the power, duty or function of a government. ANCs are also not federally or state recognized tribes by the United States Bureau of Indian Affairs. As such, payments made to ANCs, including NANA Regional Corporation have been excluded from the Report.

Corporate Social Responsibility ("CSR") Payments

The Report only includes CSR payments that we are obligated to make to a payee, or to another party under the direction of a payee, where the payee may control either the nature, timing or extent of the payment, relating to the commercial development of oil, gas or minerals.



Independent auditor's report

To the Board of Directors of Teck Resources Limited

Our opinion

In our opinion, the accompanying Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act (ESTMA) – Annual Report (the consolidated financial information) of Teck Resources Limited and its subsidiaries (the Entity) for the year ended December 31, 2018 is prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the basis of accounting described in the notes.

What we have audited

The Entity's consolidated financial information comprises the schedules of payments by payee and payments by project for the year ended December 31, 2018 and the notes to the consolidated financial information, which includes a summary of significant accounting policies.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the consolidated financial information* section of our report.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Independence

We are independent of the Entity in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the consolidated financial information in Canada. We have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

Emphasis of matter – basis of accounting

We draw attention to the notes to the consolidated financial information, which describe the basis of accounting. The consolidated financial information is prepared to assist the Entity in complying with the reporting requirements of the ESTMA. As a result, the consolidated financial information may not be suitable for another purpose. Our opinion is not modified in respect of this matter.



Responsibilities of management and those charged with governance for the consolidated financial information

Management is responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial information in accordance with the reporting requirements of the ESTMA, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial information that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Entity's financial reporting process.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the consolidated financial information

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial information as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of this consolidated financial information.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial information, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Entity's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the consolidated financial information of the entities or business activities within the Entity to express an opinion on the consolidated financial information. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.



We also provide those charged with governance with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

The engagement partner on the audit resulting in this independent auditor's report is Mark Patterson.

(signed) PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Chartered Professional Accountants

Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada May 29, 2019